

THE **BLUE LIST**
The Responsible Jewellery Supply Chain Lexicon

The Blue List -
The Responsible Jewellery
Supply Chain Lexicon

THE BLUE LIST – THE RESPONSIBLE JEWELLERY SUPPLY CHAIN LEXICON

Preamble:

In the rapidly evolving landscape of the jewellery industry, the need for clear and consistent communication has never been more critical. Marketing terms to promote the positive social and environmental impacts of companies and/or their products are increasingly being used by companies, targeting end consumers. Further, there is a significant lack of harmonisation across the sector regarding key nomenclature used to represent the Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) credentials of companies and products in their marketing and communications. This inconsistency has led to misunderstandings, inefficiencies, and errors, impacting both business operations and consumer trust.

This draft guidance document establishes definitions and guidelines to substantiate terminology relating to responsible sourcing, ethical practices, and sustainability in the jewellery industry for business and product claims. These guidelines aim to provide a unified set of terms and definitions that will enhance clarity and precision in business and product claims, fostering a culture of transparency and accountability within the jewellery industry. It applies to businesses that directly market to consumers, as well as those operating within the trade only.

The terms defined have been selected based on their prevailing use across the jewellery industry, noting that key consumer-market legislative¹ requirements do not ban their use, but require that any ESG marketing claims must be truthful, accurate and fully substantiated. The draft guidance has been drafted with these considerations and seeks to create a more unified approach within the sector.

By setting baseline criteria for substantiating claims relating to responsible, ethical and sustainable business practices, the industry can operate in a more streamlined manner, support its commitment to ethical and sustainable practices and most importantly, continue to foster trust amongst consumers.

¹ Legislative reviews have considered the U.S. Federal Trade Commission Green Guides, the E.U. Green Claims Directive and the U.K. CMA's Green Claims Code, the EU Directive on Empowering Consumers for the Green Transition (EmpCo).

Disclaimer:

These guidelines are intended to provide industry guidance and do not serve as legal advice or guidance, and appropriate legal advice should be sought by all companies making claims to ensure they comply with any relevant jurisdictions that apply to their business. Compliance with these guidelines does not guarantee compliance with applicable laws, regulations, advertising standards or consumer protection requirements. Companies remain responsible for assessing and substantiating any claims they make.

It is recognised that jewellery supply chains are diverse and multi-layered, and that there are differing levels of sophistication between countries and companies across the various segments of the jewellery value chain. This guidance recognises that the supply chains for coloured gemstones are highly dependent on artisanal mining sources and small and medium-size enterprises (“SMEs”), which may face practical challenges in implementing all aspects of this guidance, and this guidance should be regarded as a “road map”, based on principles of continuous improvement.

These guidelines are intended to be a living document and do not purport to provide definitive or exhaustive guidance on all nomenclature-related matters. Certain topics and definitions identified during the consultation process and other issues raised by stakeholders require further consideration, technical assessment, and broader industry consultation. Such matters will remain under active review by the CIBJO Nomenclature Committee and may be addressed through future amendments, clarifications, or subsequent editions of these guidelines as industry practice, regulatory frameworks, and stakeholder consensus continue to evolve. Do not assume that these guidelines address all legal, technical or market considerations relevant to a particular claim or business practice.


These definitions and guidelines will be reviewed from time to time to reflect changes in legislation, business practices and norms, cultural shifts and general use and interpretation as these evolve.

Contents:

1)	BUSINESS CLAIMS	
1.1)	Claims relating to ‘Responsible’ business practices or sourcing activities	P04
1.2)	Claims relating to ‘Ethical’ business practices, product claims and/or sourcing activities	P06
1.3)	Sustainable Business/Product Claims and Practices	P10
2)	TRANSPARENCY	P15
3)	ORIGIN, SOURCE AND PROVENANCE TERMS	
3.1)	Origin	P16
3.2)	Sourcing	P18
	3.2.1) Grandfathered/Legacy Material	P19
	3.2.2) Recycled/Repurposed Diamonds and Gemstones	P20
	3.2.3) Artisanal/Artisan	P21
	3.2.4) Vintage	P22
	3.2.5) Antique Jewellery	P23
3.3)	Provenance	P24
4)	TRACING TERMS	
4.1)	Chain of Accountability	P26
4.2)	Chain of Custody	P27
4.3)	Traceability	P28
5)	TERMS TO AVOID USING	P29

1. BUSINESS CLAIMS

Building Blocks of Business Claims



1.1) Responsible: Claims referencing 'Responsible' business practices or sourcing activities

1) Definition:

The business practices and/or product sourcing activities demonstrably meet internationally recognised standards and applicable law on environmental, social and governance matters, business integrity management systems, respect for human rights and supply chain due diligence².

2) How to substantiate the terms:

2.1) Responsible Business Practices:

2.1.1) In making claims about responsible business practices, the expectation is that the business follows a risk-based approach underpinned by the practices (policies, procedures, mechanisms, management systems) adopted by the business to substantiate the claim, aligned to internationally recognised standards.

2.1.2) There are four main elements that comprise internationally recognised standards:

2.1.2.1) Applicable Law on Environment, Social and Governance Matters: Implementation of all applicable legal requirements across Environment, Social and Governance areas (e.g. compliance with national minimum wage requirements, compliance with environmental legislation, tax contributions).

2.1.2.2) Business Integrity Management Systems: Implementation of anti-corruption and anti-money laundering measures.

² These may include but are not limited to the OECD Due Diligence Guidance, CIBJO Blue Books, Responsible Jewellery Council (RJC) Code of Practices (COP), ISO Standards and Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES e.g. biogenic materials), FTC guidelines, the EU Green Claims Directive, and the UK CMA Green Claims Code, the EU Directive on Empowering Consumers for the Green Transition (EmpCo) and other relevant legislation.

2.1.2.3) Respect for Human Rights: Policy commitment to respect human rights and a risk-assessment framework in place.

2.1.2.4) Supply Chain Due Diligence: Conducting basic supply chain due diligence:

2.1.2.4.1) Implement steps 1 and 2 of the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Sourcing from High-Risk and Conflict-Affected Areas:

2.1.2.4.1.1) Establish strong company management systems.

2.1.2.4.1.2) Identify and assess risks in the supply chain.

2.1.3) Ensure the evidence-based mechanisms in place to substantiate the claim comprise of the 4 elements of the internationally recognised standards.

2.1.3.1) Often, this could include external certification by a standard-setting organisation for responsible business practices, however this is not mandatory. This could include but is not limited to the RJC Code of Practices, the CIBJO Guidelines for Measuring ESG Performance in the Jewellery Industry and Supply Chain, AGTA Code of Ethics and Principles of Fair Business Practices

2.1.4) Ensure that marketing and communications have appropriate training on when and how to use each term.

2.2) Responsible Sourcing claims:

2.2.1) In making claims about a product being responsibly sourced, the expectation is that this is underpinned by the sourcing practices (policies, procedures, mechanisms, management systems) adopted by the business to substantiate the claim, aligned to responsible business claims (as defined above), and meets internationally recognised standards for the entirety of the product being referenced.

2.2.1.1) i.e., if the statement references 'responsibly sourced jewellery', the application of the sourcing practices must apply to all component parts of the jewellery piece.

2.2.2) Ensure that marketing and communications have appropriate training on when and how to use each term.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Consider the audience for the claim, for example, consumers / other business partners.

3.2) Example use cases:

- "Company A adopts responsible business practices"
- "Company A, Responsible Retailer"

- Company A markets its jewellery as “Responsibly sourced jewellery, including its materials and manufacturing practices.”
- Company A markets its gemstones/gold as “Responsibly sourced gemstones/gold.”

3.3) For such use cases, Company A must provide context and the basis for the claims it makes, including verifiable information such as data and policies publicly available for its audience. This should be clearly provided on its website and other marketing materials in a user-friendly manner.

4) **Avoid (how to NOT use the term):**

4.1) If there are missing elements to substantiate the claim, avoid using it until measures are implemented to ensure all elements can be demonstrated.

1.2) Ethical: Claims relating to ‘Ethical’ business practices, product claims and/or sourcing activities

1) **Definition:**

The business demonstrably meets internationally recognised standards and current best practice³ in relation to social responsibilities⁴.

2) **How to substantiate the term:**

To make claims relating to ethical business practices, product claims and/or sourcing activities, the business must specify exactly how it meets the criteria for Responsible Business Practices, implements the requirements of a human rights standard as defined by an internationally recognised body⁵, the OECD Due Diligence for Sourcing from High Risk and Conflict Affected Areas, and implements social initiatives.

2.1) **Responsible Business Practices:** In making claims about ethical business practices, ethical products and/or ethical sourcing, the business must adhere to all elements underpinning responsible business (defined above) as a baseline.

2.2) **Implementation of internationally-recognised human rights and responsible sourcing frameworks and/or guidelines and OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Sourcing:** In addition, the business must have strong management systems in place to advance:

³ Best Practice: A best practice is a method, technique, or process that has consistently shown superior results compared to other approaches and is therefore used as a benchmark. Best practices may be established by authorities, such as regulators, self-regulatory organisations, or other governing bodies, or they may be internally decreed by a company's management team.

⁴ Social Responsibility: the practice of producing goods and services in a way that is not harmful to society or the environment ([Cambridge Dictionary](#))

⁵ This can include but is not limited to the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, the OECD Due Diligence for Sourcing from High Risk and Conflict Affected Areas.

- 2.2.1) Full implementation of internationally-recognised human rights frameworks and guidelines
- 2.2.2) Enhanced supply chain due diligence (all 5 steps of the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Sourcing from High-Risk and Conflict-Affected Areas):
 - 2.2.2.1) Establish strong company management systems
 - 2.2.2.2) Identify and assess risks in the supply chain
 - 2.2.2.3) Design and implement a strategy to respond to identified risks
 - 2.2.2.4) Carry out independent third-party audit of supply chain.
 - 2.2.2.5) Report annually on supply chain due diligence.

2.3) Social Initiatives: In addition, the business should strive to have active commitments in one or more social initiatives that exceed legal requirements, that are commensurate with the nature and scale of the business, and the context in which it is operating. Social initiatives are projects or programmes designed to address social issues and improve the well-being of individuals and communities. These initiatives often focus on areas such as education, health, poverty alleviation, and social justice, and typically aim to create positive change through advocacy, direct action, or support services.

- 2.3.1) For example, these could include:
 - 2.3.1.1) Policies for fair operating practices that exceed legal requirements (e.g. parental leave over statutory practices)
 - 2.3.1.2) Decent labour practices (e.g. commitments to paying a living wage over legally-mandated minimum wage)
 - 2.3.1.3) Community engagement and development
 - 2.3.1.4) Grievance Mechanisms⁶

2.4) Ensure there are evidence-based mechanisms in place to substantiate the claim. These include:

- 2.4.1) **Implementation of internationally recognised human rights frameworks and guidance and OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Sourcing:**
 - 2.4.1.1) External certification by a standard-setting organisation for responsible business practices and,
 - 2.4.1.2) Third-party audits against OECD Due Diligence Requirements
- 2.4.2) **Social Initiatives**
- 2.4.3) External commitments to social initiatives, e.g.:
 - 2.4.3.1) The Women's Empowerment Principles
 - 2.4.3.2) The U.N. Global Compact
 - 2.4.3.3) Charity / NGO partnerships
 - 2.4.3.4) Living Wage commitments and Accreditation to a Living Wage Standard

⁶ As recommended by the UN Working Group on Business and Human Rights and as referred to in the [UNGPs Guiding Principle 3](#), or any other equivalent internationally recognised body or standard.

2.4.3.5) Other social initiatives, such as health-focused initiatives (e.g., blood donation drives, free access to medical checks), education initiatives (e.g., funding education programmes for community groups).

2.5) Ensure that marketing and communications have appropriate training on when and how to use each term.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Consider the audience for the claim.

3.2) When making the claim, the basis of the claim should be immediately clear to the audience, especially consumers.

3.2.1) Opportunity to find further information should be explicit and easy to find.

3.3) Example use cases:

3.3.1) Marketing claim: "Company A is committed to ethical practices."

Substantiation (provided on its website):

Company A is a member of the Responsible Jewellery Council (RJC) and supports women-owned businesses in New York.

The RJC works to: define the ethical, social and environmental standards applicable to all stakeholders in the gold and diamond jewellery industry, promote and facilitate the implementation of these standards and ensure the implementation of these standards is verified through independent third-party monitoring. More information can be found at www.responsiblejewellery.com.

Company A has partnered with a non-profit that is helping women with disabilities to find work. Company A has funded upskilling initiatives for women in the programme.

3.3.2) Marketing claim: Company A states on its website "our ethical jewellery is crafted with ethical materials and handmade in Country X"

Substantiation (provided on its website/marketing brochures):

Company A's ethical jewellery is made from materials selected for their responsible origins and ethical standards. This means the gemstones and metals in the ring are sourced from conflict-free regions, and are mined and manufactured under fair and safe working conditions. For more information, please see our Ethical Code of Conduct, our Sourcing Policy, our report to stakeholders, and our community initiatives, available here (with a link provided).

Best practice would include providing details on the ethical standards and the performance against them, on request, or available.

As the term 'ethical' is broadly interpreted, legislative requirements emphasise the need to substantiate the term if it is used.

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) If there are missing elements to substantiate the claim, avoid using it until measures are implemented to ensure all elements can be demonstrated.

4.1.1) Example: Company A's website claims it sells 'Ethical Engagement Rings', but only states that its diamonds are conflict-free and gives some context to the Kimberley Process and general statistics, but does not provide its own company sourcing policy, practices, or code of conduct, and does not provide any information on its position on metal sourcing.

4.2) Claims relating to ethical or responsible practices in one area (e.g., for one aspect of a product, such as a gemstone) but neglected in other areas should not be used (e.g., the piece of jewellery). Consistency across all aspects of production and sourcing is essential.

4.3) Avoid using superlative and verbose language to describe ethical practices, e.g. 'Company A is radically ethical'.

4.4) Misleading claims:

4.4.1) Example: Company A states on its website:

"Ethically-Sourced, GIA-Graded, conflict-free diamonds"

4.4.1.1) In the absence of any other information provided to substantiate the claim, this is misleading as it suggests that the GIA grading report is a certification for 'ethically-sourced' diamonds, but is a report that assesses quality only.

4.4.1.2) This claim is misleading because it relies solely on the Kimberley Process to substantiate its claim, and does not provide any evidence beyond the Kimberley Process to demonstrate its responsible business practices, how it implements an internationally-recognised human rights framework and/or guideline, how it sources diamonds, and does not evidence its support or adoption of any social initiatives.

1.3) Sustainable Business/Product Claims and Practices

Important Note on Legal Advertising Compliance:

Sustainability-related claims must adhere to **truthful, substantiated, and non-misleading communication principles** in line with international advertising regulations and consumer protection laws⁷. This includes:

- **Substantiation of all claims:** Claims must be accurate, not mislead by implication, exaggeration, or selective presentation. All claims must consider how they are likely to be understood or interpreted by the audience. Substantiation must exist before publication and be robust, up-to-date, and available for regulatory challenge (including for implied or indirect claims created by imagery, branding, or context).
- **Avoid misleading omissions:** All relevant information that could affect how a claim is understood must be disclosed. Omitting significant facts may render a claim misleading. Any qualification must be clear, prominent and presented close to the claim; it must not contradict the overall impression created by the headline claim.
- **Highlight exclusions:** Companies must draw attention to aspects of their operations not covered by the sustainability claim. Exclusions or limitations must not omit or downplay material environmental impacts relevant to the claim's overall impression (ensure entire lifecycle assessment, highlighting e.g. major lifecycle impacts, key components, or key stages of production).

1) Definition:

1.1) 'Sustainable' refers to three generally accepted elements of sustainability; social, economic and environmental, all underpinned by strong governance mechanisms⁸. The business demonstrably meets internationally recognised standards and delivers enduring and long-term socio-economic benefits and environmental protections that meet the needs of future generations.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) In making claims about sustainable business practices, products or sustainable sourcing, the business must adhere to all elements underpinning responsible and ethical business (defined above) and be able to demonstrate how it provides enduring socio-economic benefit and environmental protection.

2.2) Aspirational claims must be clearly qualified. If a sustainability claim refers to a future goal (e.g. carbon neutrality by 2030), it must clearly state that the goal is aspirational, include the target date, and disclose progress to date as appropriate.

⁷ Applicable UK, US and EU advertising and consumer protection rules, including but not limited to UK Advertising Standards Authority, Committee of Advertising Practice CAP/Broadcast CAP, the CMA Green Claims Code and the EU Unfair Commercial Practices Directive as amended, the EU Empowering Consumers for the Green Transition (EmpCo), FTC Green Guides, EUCSDDD etc.

⁸ Please see ISEAL Sustainability Claims Good Practice Guide (2025) [here](#)

2.2.1) Future-oriented environmental claims (e.g. “net zero by 2040”) may be made only where supported by: (i) a detailed, publicly available implementation plan; (ii) measurable, time-bound targets (including interim milestones); (iii) identified resources and governance for delivery; and (iv) regular independent third-party verification, with verification findings made available to consumers. Claims must clearly identify the scope (Scopes 1–3, organisational boundary, and whether reductions vs offsets).

2.3) The business must have strong management systems in place to demonstrate enduring socio-economic benefits to the communities it directly impacts, through its operations, and indirectly impacts, through its sourcing activities. If consumer-facing claims rely on these systems (e.g. “sustainably sourced”), the claim must specify what is meant (standard, certification, audit frequency, coverage %) and must not imply full value-chain coverage unless it is materially true.

2.3.1) A best practice approach considers assessing all impacts, minimising or avoiding adverse impacts, and creating positive impacts on society that endure beyond the life of the operation. The organisation should publicly report on its socio-economic assessment process and outcomes on a regular basis.

2.3.2) Any “positive impact” claim must be specific, quantified where possible, and presented with its boundary/assumptions. Avoid presenting one positive attribute as if it renders the whole product sustainable.

2.3.3) For sourcing practices, the business should demonstrate its approach to assessing the impacts of its suppliers through its due diligence frameworks, identifying potential adverse impacts as well as positive impacts, and adopting an appropriate approach to working with its suppliers to address, mitigate and minimise adverse impacts and supporting positive outcomes. This approach exceeds the OECD due diligence requirements on responsible sourcing. While there are limited value chain sustainability standards, reporting on these approaches and their outcomes can be incorporated in the reporting requirement under the OECD guidelines.

2.4) The business must have strong management systems in place to demonstrate how its impacts to the environment are considered, mitigated and managed:

2.4.1) Assessments should consider the business’ environmental impacts, including for example, emissions, water use, waste management and impact on biodiversity, using industry/best practice standards, as relevant.

2.4.1.1) For sustainable sourcing claims, this should consider the supply chain’s relevant environmental impacts, through to the origin of the product.

2.4.2) Demonstrable measurement of public commitments made on environmental impact reductions, with recommended best practice that these are third-party verified against an internationally recognised/aligned standards (e.g., commitments relating to carbon reductions)

2.5) Ensure there are evidence-based mechanisms in place to substantiate all elements of sustainability. Any claim of sustainability based on the use of e.g. recycled or repurposed gold, gemstones and other materials must be accurately contextualised and not imply environmental benefit where none exists i.e. avoid implying “overall sustainability” from recycled content alone.

2.6) Ensure that marketing and communications teams have appropriate training on when and how to use each term.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Consider the audience for the claim. Apply the “average consumer” test and assume consumers take headline claims at face value unless limitations are prominent and immediate.

3.2) When making the claim, the basis of the claim should be immediately clear to the audience, especially consumers.

3.2.1) Opportunity to find further information should be explicit and easy to find.

3.2.2) Any qualification necessary to prevent the claim being misleading must appear on the same medium and be clearly linked to the claim; it cannot be left solely to a website/QR code. Additional detail may sit behind a link, but not the core qualification.

3.2.3) Claims about future environmental performance (e.g. “net zero by 2040”) must be supported by a detailed, publicly available implementation plan with measurable, time-bound targets, resource allocation and regular independent third-party verification, with verification findings available to consumers.

3.2.4) Claims must not overstate the level of assurance: describe whether assurance is self-assessment, second-party audit, or independent third-party certification; and state coverage.

3.3) Example use cases:

3.3.1) Company A claims its jewellery is ‘Made with 100% recycled gold (certified), with audited suppliers covering X% of gemstones by volume, and a published transition plan to reduce Scope 1–3 emissions by Y% by 2030 (independently verified annually).

3.3.1.1) It presents multiple layers of accessible information for consumers to understand its social, environmental and business integrity practices, how it measures its impacts and provides annual updates on its performance on its ambitions to be more sustainable. It presents clear and factual information, and even presents some of its negative impacts and what it is doing to mitigate these. (e.g., its work to reduce its Scope 3 emissions).

3.3.2) Company A claims it has reduced the environmental footprint of its jewellery.

3.3.2.1) The comparison is quantified and made on a clear like-for-like basis, using the same lifecycle analysis over a clearly defined period of time, on the same product, or like-for-like replacement of the same product. For example, Company A performs a cradle-to-grave greenhouse gas analysis of a jewellery product in 2024. It then implements manufacturing process efficiencies and re-performs the same lifecycle analysis of the same product in 2025 to quantitatively demonstrate the reduction.

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) High-risk and generic environmental claims (e.g. “green”, “eco-friendly”, “sustainable”, “conscious”) must not be used unless (i) the claim is made specific in clear and prominent terms on the same medium, or (ii) the trader can demonstrate recognised excellent environmental performance relevant to the claim.

4.2) If there are missing elements to substantiate the claim, avoid using it until measures are implemented to ensure all elements can be demonstrated.

4.3) Weak sustainability claims that are unclear and unsubstantiated should not be used. For example, Company A sells ‘100% renewable energy diamonds’

4.3.1) Avoid absolute claims (“100%”, “zero impact”, “carbon neutral”, “climate positive”) unless the scope and methodology are clear and substantiated, including any use of offsets,

4.3.2) This claim is weak as the company does not state how this is verified, what the renewable energy sources were, and whether value chain processes and their impacts were considered in this statement.

4.3.3) In addition, if this claim is in relation to synthetic diamonds and/or gemstones, this would be in breach of disclosure requirements as it did not qualify that these are synthetic products. Ensure any claim does not mislead as to product nature (natural vs laboratory-grown), and avoid using “diamond” in a way that could imply natural origin where laboratory-grown is supplied, unless clearly and prominently stated.

4.4) Suggesting that ‘no mining’ is involved in the production of laboratory-grown diamonds in an effort to demonstrate ‘sustainability’.

4.4.1) This is misleading as producing laboratory-grown diamonds requires other minerals (such as cobalt, iron and nickel for the HPHT process or methane in the CVD process) which are mined, and used in the production of laboratory-grown diamonds. Avoid absolute “no mining” / “no extraction” / “zero footprint” claims. If contrasting laboratory-grown with natural diamonds and gemstones, ensure comparisons are like-for-like, based on robust evidence, and do not imply the absence of environmental impacts.

4.5) Comparative claims where the basis of comparison is not disclosed or not like-for-like. For example, Company A claiming that a rough carat of their laboratory grown diamond has a lower environmental footprint than a rough carat of natural diamond from company B.

4.5.1) This claim is misleading as natural and laboratory grown diamonds have different polished yields, and therefore the basis of comparison is not like-for-like.

4.5.2) The claim does not consider the full lifecycle of the product.

4.6) Claiming a product or organisation is free of emissions based on the use of renewable energy alone.

4.6.1) This claim is misleading because it does not disclose the full lifecycle or organisational emissions. Energy consumption is only one component of a manufacturing process, and renewable energy has emissions associated with it, quantified under scope 3 category 3 emissions.

4.6.2) For energy intensive processes such as natural diamond mining or laboratory grown diamond synthesis, emissions from renewable energy consumption are typically material.

4.7) Making claims based on carbon sequestration, without quantifying and clarifying the full lifecycle or value chain emissions of their product or processes.

4.7.1) This is misleading if only the amount of sequestered carbon is disclosed, without clarifying how this compares to the remaining value chain emissions. For example, there are known mechanisms of carbon sequestration in kimberlite tailings from diamond mining, or using captured carbon in CVD synthesis, but these only constitute one component of the respective value chains. Emissions from other value chain processes typically far exceed the emissions sequestered in these processes.

2. TRANSPARENCY

In the context of the jewellery industry, **transparency** is a core principle typically meaning open, honest, and timely disclosure of relevant information to stakeholders. This refers to open and honest communication about the processes, materials, and sourcing practices involved in creating and selling jewellery. Defining transparency for this sector considers:

Supply Chain Visibility: Providing detailed information about the origin of raw materials (e.g., diamonds, gemstones, metals & pearls) and ensuring they have been responsibly sourced. This includes being clear about mining practices and labour conditions, particularly in regions where human rights and environmental concerns are prevalent.

Ethical Sourcing: Ensuring that suppliers comply with labour laws, fair wages, and responsible mining practices. This involves transparency about whether the jewellery uses conflict-free minerals, or sourcing from certified ethical businesses.


Manufacturing Practices: Being open about where and how the jewellery is made, whether it's handcrafted or mass-produced, or if precious stones have been treated or enhanced in any way and the working conditions in the manufacturing facilities.

Sustainability Practices: Being truthful about the environmental impact of mining, manufacturing, and distribution, as well as efforts made to implement the mitigation hierarchy, including, for example, efforts to reduce carbon footprints, recycle materials, implement reforestation and reclamation activities, biodiversity protection/preservation initiatives or managing the use of renewable resources.

Authenticity Reports: Providing verifiable information about the authenticity of gemstones, diamonds, pearls or metals used. This could include reports from recognised bodies (e.g GIA, IGI) or disclosures about any treatments or enhancements applied to gemstones. In jurisdictions where statutory hallmarking applies, hallmarking may also serve as an example of recognised independent verification of precious metal fineness.

3. ORIGIN, SOURCE AND PROVENANCE TERMS

Inter-connectivity of Transparency Terms



3.1) Origin (noun) – Where?

1) Definition:

1.1) The geographical location where a material was recovered, produced, or returned to the refiner or other downstream intermediate processor or recycler. This can refer to either the mine(s) or production facility (cutting, polishing and manufacturing), region(s) of a country and/or countries and/or communities.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Undertake appropriate due diligence to verify the origin of a material. This can include:

2.1.1) Certificate of origin / origin certification

2.1.1.1) For example, for coloured gemstones, certain gemmological laboratories may provide opinions on origin, based on gemmological analysis. Any such opinions must clearly state the basis of the opinion and any appropriate caveat and disclaimers on the report, in order not to mislead the customer and end consumer.

2.1.2) Supplier declarations.

2.1.3) Transfer documents for chain of custody material.

2.1.4) Technological options such as blockchain ledgers providing substantiated origin information, subject to due diligence on the technology provider's robustness.

2.2) Conducting due diligence on the suppliers' declarations and attestations and securing credible substantiation for the basis of the origin claim.

2.3) Ensure compliance with legislative requirements, including where country of origin details may be stipulated in customs requirements.

2.4) Apply a risk-based approach to the origin of a product. This means identifying the potential risks associated with different origins, assessing the likelihood and severity of the risks, and then implementing appropriate controls to mitigate them. This helps improve transparency, efficiency, and compliance with recognised international standards and regulations.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Origin information may be provided on marketing materials as well as legal documents, such as invoices or shipping documentation.

3.2) Ensure that all origin disclosures are transparent.

3.3) Origin information can include reference to aggregated information to inform the basis of the composition of the product, or be specific to one product or region. In all instances, the receiver of the information should be able to clearly understand and differentiate the information.

3.4) Example use cases:

3.4.1) Diamonds from Venetia Mine; Musina/Limpopo region in South Africa;

3.4.2) Coloured gemstones from Southern Africa and Brazil

3.4.3) Sapphires from Montana, USA

3.4.4) Colombian emeralds

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) Misleading or unsubstantiated claims on origin must not be used.

3.2) Sourcing (verb) – How?

1) Definition:

1.1) Process or method through which the material was recovered and/or produced and/or procured. Note, reference to this definition is in consideration of source as a verb (i.e. sourcing activity), not a noun or location.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Undertake appropriate due diligence to verify the process that was undertaken to source the material. This can include:

2.1.1) Supplier declarations (including cutters, polishers and manufacturers) and information pertaining to how the material initially entered the supply chain or when it was returned to the value chain.

2.1.2) Conducting due diligence on the suppliers' declarations and attestations and securing credible substantiation for the basis of the sourcing claim.

2.1.3) Third-party audits to ensure transparency and prevent misuse of terms like "artisanal" or "large-scale".

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Information on how product was sourced may be provided on marketing materials as well as legal documents, such as invoices or shipping documentation.

3.2) Example use cases:

3.2.1) Source: Our diamonds are sourced from artisanal and small-scale mining or mined through artisanal methods in Sierra Leone

3.2.2) Source: Our diamonds are recovered through large-scale mining operations in Canada.

3.2.3) Recycled Gold/Recycled or repurposed diamonds and gemstones

3.2.4) Laboratory-grown or synthetic diamonds/gemstones

3.2.5) Company A states on its website: "We source our natural diamonds from Botswana and tanzanite from Tanzania through long-term partnerships with responsible suppliers."

3.2.6) Grandfathered diamonds

3.3) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

3.3.1) Misleading or unsubstantiated claims must not be used.

3.2.1) Grandfathered/Legacy Material

1) Definition:

Material which existed in the supply chain or goods already in possession before a specific cut-off date prior to changes in a certain legal or trade-related rule when trade in that material is exempt from the new requirement/rule. This exemption is based on a provision in which old rules continue to apply to certain materials that existed prior to a specified effective date, while a new rule will apply to all future cases.

2) Substantiation of the Term (How to substantiate the term):

2.1) The key requirement is proof of ownership prior to the sanction date, often through stock declarations and supporting documents like invoices and customs forms.

2.2) Establish a clear policy position for which legal and/or trade-related rule is being referenced for the relevant product and the precise date for when trade in the material is exempt or not exempt, depending on the company's position. Note, if trade in the material is based on legal rules, rather than voluntary trade rules, these will determine the company position.

2.3) Example: establishing a responsible sourcing policy position for specific circumstances under which rubies that existed in the trade prior to U.S. sanctions against rubies from Myanmar since 2003 can or cannot be purchased by the company.

2.4) Example from *Company A Company Sourcing Policy*: "Legacy diamonds: defined as 'existing stock of diamonds purchased before 23 April 2019 for which trade in the material is continued, but where provenance, source or origin information is missing for the material'. Company A Company may purchase grandfathered diamonds without provenance information, if it can be evidenced by the supplier that the purchase of the diamonds, by the supplier, occurred prior to 23 April 2019, as established under the Responsible Jewellery Council Code of Practices 2019.

3) How to Use the Term:

3.1) Where the position is referenced at a point of sale to another business, the definition is made clear to the purchaser.

3.2) This term should only be used in business-to-business contexts. This term is intended solely to support consistent business-to-business terminology and should not be interpreted as a consumer-facing product descriptor or quality claim.

3.3) The term 'legacy' is recommended as an alternative to 'grandfathered' due to the [negative historical connotations associated with the word 'grandfathered' in U.S. racial history](#), however, this is not mandatory, as it is understood that 'grandfathered' is widely used as a legal term.

4) **Avoid:**

4.1) It is important to note and make clear that "grandfathered" is a legal requirement that is always underpinned by an exemption date where products can be traded under certain conditions if properly declared and documented. As such, it should not be used as a marketing descriptor.

4.2) Using the term 'grandfathered' or 'legacy' in consumer-facing communication or marketing.

4.3) Establishing arbitrary dates that are not based on legal or generally-accepted trade rules in sourcing policies applicable to grandfathered products.

3.2.2) *Recycled/Repurposed Diamonds and Gemstones*

1. **Definition:**

Finished material that had a prior use and has re-entered the supply chain to be used again, either for the same or different purpose. For gemstones/pearls/jewellery, these are gemstones/pearls/jewellery that had a prior use **by a consumer** (post-consumer) and have re-entered the supply chain to be re-cut/re-polished/re-set and/or resold.

1.1) Note: Existing inventory of gemstones/pearls/jewellery that re-enters the supply chain before it reaches a consumer is not classified as recycled or repurposed, this would be deemed as 'old/existing inventory/stock'.

1.2) Recycled Gold/Metal: The CIBJO Nomenclature Committee did not include this definition in its scope of work and defers to prevailing standards in the industry, including ISO 14021 and the [recommended CIBJO definition for "Recycled Gold"](#) as published on 18 March 2025, *to promote consistency across the jewellery supply chain.*

2) **How to substantiate the term:**

2.1) Claims relating to recycled or repurposed gemstones/pearls/jewellery must be underpinned by management systems that substantiate the claim, including a sourcing policy, due diligence controls and data that supports the validity, truthfulness and accuracy of the claim.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Representation of a product as recycled or repurposed must be demonstrable, truthful and accurate.

3.2) It is important to note that for jewellery, leftovers or excess from the manufacturing of a piece of jewellery is not likely to be discarded and would not be considered as “production waste” or “post-consumer scrap”

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) Companies should avoid using the term for all products if it does not apply to all products, e.g., even if a majority of gemstones/pearls/jewellery are sourced from recycled sources, if it is not applicable to 100% of the product/s, the claim should be accurate for the product.

4.2) Example: ‘recycled engagement ring’ but only the gold is from recycled sources. This would be an inaccurate and misleading claim.

3.2.3) Artisanal/Artisan

1. Definition:

Made in a traditional or non-mechanised way (of a product); made by hand, often using traditional techniques drawn from cultural heritage

An artisan or artisanal product is often by hand or using traditional methods, emphasises quality, craftsmanship, and a connection to heritage or tradition, and is typically made in limited quantities. It's the opposite of mass-produced items, focusing instead on skill, attention to detail, and often, locally sourced materials.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) The designation of a product as artisanally recovered or made should be supported by documentation of the production process, profiles of the artisans involved, and evidence of traditional techniques or cultural heritage.

2.2) The term artisanally made refers to goods produced by individuals or small groups using manual techniques, traditional tools, or limited mechanical assistance, where the manual contribution is the dominant element in the final product.

2.3) Artisanal goods are characterised by their manual production, cultural significance, and distinctive aesthetic or functional qualities.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Company A states on its website: *"Crafted by Indigenous artisans in New Mexico, our jewellery reflects Southwestern traditions and supports cultural preservation."*

3.2) This claim is valid if artisanal goods are characterised by their manual production, rudimentary techniques, low levels of mechanisation, cultural significance and distinctive aesthetic or functional qualities. These goods may reflect traditional knowledge, be passed down through generations, and often embody the identity of local or indigenous communities.⁹

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) Companies should avoid describing their products/ jewellery as artisanally-made if the jewellery is mass-produced

4.2) Any claim must be accurately contextualised and not imply ethical or social or cultural benefits where none exists.

4.3) Misleading or unsubstantiated claims must not be used.

4.4) Note that the label 'artisanal jewellery' suggests traditional craftsmanship which might imply higher ethical and environmental standards.

3.2.4) Vintage

1. Definition:

A piece of jewellery that was made between 50 and 100 years ago (i.e., any jewellery produced between c. 1920s and c.1970s).

1.1) The period thresholds provided are intended to promote consistent terminology across the jewellery industry. They do not replace applicable legal definitions or recognised cultural and heritage classifications, which may differ across jurisdictions.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Best practice is to secure certificates/appropriate documentation of authenticity, origin, and export documentation from relevant experts to help establish the legitimacy of the items, and to comply with relevant import/export requirements for each applicable jurisdiction.

2.2) It is critical that any claims made are substantiated through expert opinion and review.

⁹ Derived from World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO)

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Vintage jewellery may be simply described as ‘vintage jewellery’ if it is an item of jewellery that was made between 50 and 100 years ago

3.2) There may be instances where a specific style is attributed to the vintage jewellery piece, however, stylistic categories should not be confused with a strict age-based criteria for vintage jewellery. Examples of this include:

3.2.1) Art Deco jewellery (often used for the 1920s – 1930s era)

3.2.2) Retro jewellery (often used for the 1930s – 1950s era)

3.2.3) Mid-century jewellery (often used for the 1940s – 1960s era)

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) Jewellery that is not substantiated to be vintage should not be misleadingly presented as vintage.

4.2) Newer jewellery items and replicas should not be marketed as “vintage” regardless of their stylistic qualities.

4.3) Jewellery made since the 1990’s - 2000’s should not be classified as vintage and could be classified as contemporary. Please refer to other terms presented in this guidance, e.g., recycled or repurposed gemstones/ grandfathered, that may be more appropriate.

3.2.5) Antique Jewellery

1. Definition:

A piece or set of jewellery that is at least 100 years old. (i.e., any jewellery made around 1920 or prior is classified as antique jewellery).

1.1) The period thresholds provided are intended to promote consistent terminology across the jewellery industry. They do not replace applicable legal definitions or recognised cultural and national heritage classifications, which may differ across jurisdictions.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Best practice is to secure certificates/appropriate documentation of authenticity, origin, and export documentation from relevant experts to help establish the legitimacy of the items, and to comply with relevant import/export requirements for each applicable jurisdiction.

2.2) It is critical that any claims made are substantiated through expert opinion and review.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Antique jewellery may be simply described as 'antique jewellery' if it is an item of jewellery that was made at least 100 years ago.

3.2) There may be instances where a specific period is attributed to the antique jewellery piece. Examples of this include:

3.2.1) Georgian jewellery

(reflective of the period between 1714 – 1837) (UK)

3.2.2) Victorian jewellery

(reflective of the period between 1837 – 1901) (UK)

3.2.3) Edwardian jewellery

(reflective of the period between 1901 – 1910) (UK)

3.2.4) Belle Époque

(reflective of the period 1880 – 1920) (France)

3.2.5) Art Nouveau

(reflective of the period from 1890 – 1910) (Western)

3.2.6) Mughal jewellery

(reflective of the period 1526 - 1857) (India)

3.2.7) Qing Dynasty jewellery

(reflective of the period 1644 to 1912) (China)

3.3) These are just examples, and other cultures and histories may have their own key periods of style that are commonly known.

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) Jewellery that is not substantiated to be antique should not be misleadingly presented as antique.

3.3) Provenance (noun) – Who?

1. Definition:

1.1) The origin and source of a material, its documented corporate or historical ownership from its point of origin, its chain of custody, and the business practices that were applied to sourcing it.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Undertake appropriate due diligence to verify the provenance of the material across the value chain. This can include:

2.1.1) Certificate of Origin

2.1.2) Supplier declarations.

2.1.3) Transfer documents for chain of custody material

2.1.4) Supplier credentials

- 2.1.5) Method of recovery or production
- 2.1.6) Grandfathered clause
- 2.1.7) Supplier declarations and information pertaining to how the material initially entered the supply chain or when it was returned to the value chain.

3) How to use the term:


- 3.1) Provenance information may be provided on marketing materials as well as legal documents, such as invoices or shipping documentation.
 - 3.1.1) All information should be evidence-based and able to be substantiated, underpinned by management systems.
 - 3.1.2) Reference to how the information is substantiated should be provided.
 - 3.1.3) How users/consumers can find further information should also be appropriately referenced.
 - 3.1.4) Establish procedures to monitor changes and ensure that these are conducted on a regular basis.
 - 3.1.5) Best practice advises that a working and context-appropriate complaints mechanism is in place, that is suitably monitored and relevant staff trained on its management.
- 3.2) Example use cases:
 - 3.2.1) We sell responsibly mined artisanal and small-scale gold that comes from a Swiss Better Gold mine, or is Fairtrade or Fairmined certified, as a Swiss Better Gold participant or Fairtrade/Fairmined licensee. We sell only post-consumer recycled diamonds. This is confirmed by due diligence on our suppliers.

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

- 4.1) Misleading or unsubstantiated claims on origin must not be used.

4. Tracing Terms

Interconnectivity of Tracing Terms



Increasingly, companies are using references to varying tracing terminology to substantiate how they are providing assurance on the origin, source or provenance of the products they sell. For example:

- "This jewellery contains recycled gold verified through the RJC's chain of custody standard"
- Based on written assurances from suppliers, Company A sources diamonds from Countries A, B, C, D, E and F only.

This section puts forward some considerations for how companies can consider the basis of the tracing claims they are making. All tracing claims (chain of accountability, chain of custody and traceability) are required to have third-party verifications in place to substantiate the claim.

4.1) Chain of Accountability

1) Definition:

1.1) A documented sequence of verifiable¹⁰ written assurances made by entities in the value chain from the point of origin, source or provenance and the practices applied by these entities.

2) Example use cases:

2.1) WDC System of Warranties – "The diamonds herein invoiced have been {sourced}* purchased from legitimate sources not involved in funding conflict, in compliance with United Nations Resolutions and corresponding national laws"

¹⁰ The ability to establish the truth, accuracy or reality of a claim

4.2) Chain of Custody

1) Definition:

1.1) A documented sequence of custody of material as it moves across entities along the supply chain from the point of origin, source or provenance to a defined end-point.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Any offering that claims it offers a Chain of Custody must be supported by documented management systems including the definition of the critical control points, verification of the effectiveness of the processes to prevent contamination or tampering, communication of the evidence supporting the claim to interested stakeholders and a publicly available grievance mechanism.

2.2) The management systems must satisfy the following criteria:
2.2.1) Demonstrate that custody of the material throughout the chain of entities from the defined start to defined end point, including contractors, is covered. Service providers, including transport/couriers who support the secure movement of product from one location to another, laboratories, and state-controlled organisations to which material must be submitted for control purposes are excluded, but must be covered by appropriate due diligence and risk assessments.

3) Example of Chain of Custody standards:

3.1) Responsible Jewellery Council Chain of Custody Standard

3.2) De Beers Pipeline Integrity Standard.

3.3) CRAFT Code of Responsible Artisanal and Small-scale Mining Fast Track¹¹

4) Avoid (how to NOT use the term):

4.1) Claims of a chain of custody should not be made where:
4.1.1) They rely purely on supplier declarations that are not supported by a defined Chain of Custody management system
4.1.2) The product includes material or components that are not covered by the Chain of Custody, unless these are specifically declared

¹¹ The full CRAFT code can be found [here](#)

4.2) Claims of a Chain of Custody must not either directly state or indirectly imply other benefits, for example “XXX is produced under a Chain of Custody so is ethically sourced”

4.3) Chain of Custody claims must not imply any type of product conformity or product certification in relation to the product or material unless they are produced under a certified Product Conformity standard.

4.3) Traceability

1) Definition:

1.1) An auditable process to identify and track materials and the entities from a point of origin, source or provenance to a defined end point, and the practices that were applied through this value chain.

2) How to substantiate the term:

2.1) Any offering that claims it provides a traceability solution must satisfy all the following criteria:

2.1.1) Be able to demonstrate its starting point of origin, source and/or provenance

2.1.2) Be able to satisfy chain of custody requirements.

2.1.3) Be able to demonstrate robust traceability of materials as they move through various entities in the value chain, from the defined starting point to the defined end point.

2.1.4) Be able to demonstrate the practices that were applied by each entity in the value chain from start to end points.

3) How to use the term:

3.1) Example use cases:

3.1.1) Single Mine of Origin Gold

3.1.2) ASM Gold from A, B or C countries.

3.1.3) Blockchain and digital solutions that provide the origin and traceability information for a material, e.g., the origin of the rough gemstone, the company that discovered it, which company cut and polished the gemstone and the location where it was cut and polished.

4) Avoid (how NOT to use the term):

4.1) References describing standards or schemes as offering traceability solutions but which do not meet the criteria to substantiate the term should not be used.

4.2) Note, traceability should not be mistaken for transparency. Traceability offerings for products support transparency, but are not the only element covered under transparency and the terms should not be used synonymously.

5. Terms to avoid using

This guidance recommends that the following terms are generally not used for the jewellery industry. If their use is adopted by any party, the rationale and basis use should be clearly substantiated, and full and transparent information should be provided to the consumer or customer to express the meaning of the claim.

Please refer also to the CIBJO Blue Books “Do’s and Don’ts” Guides as the appropriate CIBJO standard.

- 1) **Eco-friendly/ecological/clean/non-toxic and environmentally friendly for jewellery items:** this term is too broad, unclear on applicability of claim and would require certain standard certification to prove something is ecofriendly/ environmentally friendly.
- 2) **Biodegradable:** this term is not applicable to the precious jewellery industry.
- 3) **Green/‘greener’ jewellery material:** this term is too broad, difficult to substantiate and misleading.
- 4) **Energy-efficient/energy-smart/smart/renewable:** these terms are difficult to substantiate, and the comparator is unclear. Unless the source and type of energy can be stated and quantified.
- 5) **Low Carbon:** this term should be treated with caution and avoided unless supported by science-based methodologies, as unverified carbon claims are increasingly scrutinised under global advertising and consumer protection regulations.
- 6) **Comparative ESG Claims:** Businesses should avoid making comparative ESG-related marketing claims that position one product/material as more sustainable or environmentally friendly than another. Such comparisons are often misleading, lack standardised benchmarks, and cannot be substantiated without comprehensive, verifiable life-cycle assessments for both products.